OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Revision of income criteria to exclude socially advanced persons/sections (Creamy Layer) from the purview of reservation for Other Backward Classes (OBCs)-reg.

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to this Department's office memorandum No. 36012/22/93-Estt. (SCT) dated 8th September, 1993 which, inter alia, provided that sons and daughters of persons having gross annual income of Rs. 1 lakh or above for a period of three consecutive years would fall within the creamy layer and would not be entitled to get the benefit of reservation available to the Other Backward Classes. The aforesaid limit of income for determining the creamy layer status was subsequently raised to Rs. 2.5 lakh and Rs. 4.5 lakh and accordingly the expression “Rs. 1 lakh” under Category-VI of Schedule to OM dated 8th September, 1993 was revised to “Rs. 2.5 lakh” and to “Rs. 4.5 lakh” vide this Department’s OMs No. 36033/3/2004-Estt. (Res.) dated 09.03.2004 and dated 14.10.2008 respectively.

2. It has now been decided to raise the income limit from Rs. 4.5 lakh to Rs. 6 lakh per annum for determining the creamy layer amongst the Other Backward Classes. Accordingly, the expression “Rs. 4.5 lakh” under Category VI in the Schedule to this Department’s aforesaid O.M. of 8th September, 1993 would be substituted by Rs. “Rs. 6 lakh”.

3. The provisions of this office memorandum have effect from 16th May, 2013.

4. All the Ministries/Departments are requested to bring the contents of this office memorandum to the notice of all concerned.

[Signature]
(Sharad Kumar Srivastava)
Under-Secretary to the Govt. of India

To:

1. All the Ministries/Departments of the Government of India.
2. Department of Financial Services, New Delhi.
3. Department of Public Enterprises, New Delhi.
4. Railway Board, New Delhi.
5. Union Public Service Commission/Supreme Court of India/Election
   Commission of India/Lok Sabha Secretariat/Rajya Sabha Secretariat/Cabinet
   Secretariat/Central Vigilance Commission/President’s Secretariat/Prime
   Minister’s Office/Planning Commission.
6. Staff Selection Commission, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
8. National Commission for SCs/National Commission for STs, Lok Nayak
   Bhawan, New Delhi.
9. National Commission for Backward Classes, Trikoot-1, Bhikaji Cama Place, R.
   K. Puram, New Delhi.
10. Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Iqbal
    Marg, New Delhi 110002.
11. Information and Facilitation Centre, DoPT, North Block, New Delhi (100
    copies).
12. The NIC, DoPT with a request to upload it at the website of this Department in
    OMs & Orders > Estt. (Res.) > SC/ST/OBC and in ‘What’s New’

Copies forwarded to:
The Chief Secretaries of all the States/Union Territories for information and
necessary action.
Office Memorandum

Subject: Revision of income criteria to exclude socially advanced persons/sections (Creamy Layer) from the purview of reservation for Other Backward Classes (OBCs).

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to this Department's O.M No. 36012/22/93-Estt (SCT) dated 6th September, 1993 which inter alia provided that sons and daughters of persons having gross annual income of Rs. 1 lakh or above for a period of three consecutive years would fall within the creamy layer and would not be entitled to get the benefit of reservation available to the Other Backward Classes. The limit of income for determining the creamy layer status was raised to Rs. 2.5 lakh vide this Department's OM of even number dated 9.3.2004. It has now been decided to raise the income limit from Rs. 2.5 lakh to Rs. 4.5 lakh per annum for determining the creamy layer amongst the OBCs. Accordingly the following entry is hereby substituted for the existing entry against Category VI in the Schedule to the above referred O.M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description of Category</th>
<th>To whom the rule of exclusion will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Income/Wealth Test</td>
<td>Son(s) and daughter(s) of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Persons having gross annual income of Rs. 4.5 lakh or above or possessing wealth above the exemption limit as prescribed in the Wealth Tax Act for period of three consecutive years.

(b) Persons in Categories I, II, III and V A who are not dissatisfied to the benefit of reservation but have income from other sources of wealth which will bring them within the income/wealth criteria mentioned in (a) above.

Explanation:

Income from salaries or agricultural land shall not be clubbed.
2. The provisions of this Office Memorandum take effect from the 3rd October, 2008.

3. All the Ministries/Departments are requested to bring the contents of this Office Memorandum to the notice of all concerned.

(K.G. Verma)
Director

To

1. All the Ministries/Departments of the Government of India.
2. Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division), New Delhi.
3. Department of Economic Affairs (Insurance Division), New Delhi.
4. Department of Public Enterprises, New Delhi.
5. Railway Board.
6. Union Public Service Commission/Supreme Court of India/Election Commission/Lok Sabha Secretariat/Rajya Sabha Secretariat/Cabinet Secretariat/Central Vigilance Commission/President's Secretariat/Prime Minister's Office/Planning Commission.
7. Staff Selection Commission, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
12. Information and Facilitation Centre, DOPT, North Block, New Delhi. (100 copies)

Copies forwarded to:

The Chief Secretaries of all the States/UTs, for information and necessary action.
No. 36033/5/2004-Estt(Rec)
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES & PENSIONS
DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL & TRAINING

New Delhi, Dated : the 14th October, 2004

To

The Chief Secretaries of all the States /Union Territories.

Subject: Clarifications regarding creamy layer amongst OBCs.

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to the Schedule to this Department’s OM No.36012/22/93-(SCT) dated 8th September, 1993 which contains the criteria to determine the creamy layer amongst the OBCs. In regard to the children of the persons in civil services of the Central and the State Governments, it provides that son(s) and daughter(s) of:

(a) parents, both of whom are directly recruited Class I/Group A officers;

(b) parents, either of whom is a directly recruited Class I/Group A officer;

(c) parents, both of whom are directly recruited Class I/Group A officers, but one of them dies or suffers permanent incapacitation;

(d) parents, either of whom is a directly recruited Class I/Group A officer and such parent dies or suffers permanent incapacitation and before such death or such incapacitation has had the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years;

(e) parents, both of whom are directly recruited Class I/Group A officers and both of them die or suffer permanent incapacitation and before such death or such incapacitation of the both, either of them has had the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years;

(f) parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers;

(g) parents of whom only the husband is a directly recruited Class II /Group B officer and he gets into Class I//Group A at the age of 40 or earlier;
(h) parents, both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and one of them dies or suffers permanent incapacitation and either of them has had the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years;

(i) parents of whom the husband is a Class I/Group A officer (direct recruit or pre-forty promoted) and the wife is a directly recruited Class II/Group B officer and the wife dies; or suffers permanent incapacitation; and

(j) parents, of whom wife is a Class I/Group A officer (Direct Recruit or pre-forty promoted) and the husband is a directly recruited Class II/Group B officer and the husband dies or suffers permanent incapacitation

shall be treated as falling in creamy layer.

2. The Schedule further provides that sons and daughters of:

(i) parents either of whom or both of whom are directly recruited Class I/Group A officer(s) and such parent(s) dies/die or suffers/suffer permanent incapacitation;

(ii) parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and one of them dies or suffers permanent incapacitation;

(iii) parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and both of them die or suffer permanent incapacitation, even though either of them has had the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years before their death or permanent incapacitation

shall not be treated to be falling in creamy layer.

3. The criteria prescribed for determining creamy layer status of sons and daughters of persons in Government service mutatis mutandis applies to the sons and daughters of persons holding equivalent or comparable posts in PSUs, Banks, Insurance Organisations, Universities etc. and also holding equivalent or comparable posts and positions under private employment. The creamy layer status of the sons and daughters of employees of organizations where evaluation of the posts on equivalent or comparable basis has not been made is determined on the basis of 'Income/Wealth Test' given in the Schedule. The Income/Wealth Test prescribes that the sons and daughters of persons having gross annual income of Rs.2.5 lakh or above or possessing wealth above the exemption limit as prescribed
in the Wealth Tax Act for a period of three consecutive years would be treated to fall in creamy layer. An explanation is given below the Income/Wealth Tax which provides that ‘income from salaries or agricultural land shall not be clubbed.’

4. Following questions have been raised from time to time about the application of the above provisions to determine creamy layer:

(i) Will the sons and daughters of parents either of whom or both of whom are directly recruited Class I/Group A officer(s) and such parent(s) dies/die or suffers/suffer permanent incapacitation after retirement be treated to be excluded from the creamy layer?

(ii) Will the sons and daughters of parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and one of them dies or suffer permanent incapacitation after retirement be treated to be excluded from the creamy layer?

(iii) Will the sons and daughters of parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and both of them die or suffer permanent incapacitation after retirement even though either of them has had got the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years before their death or permanent incapacitation be treated to be excluded from the purview of creamy layer?

(iv) Will the sons and daughters of parent(s) who retire from the service on the basis of which their sons and daughters fall in creamy layer, continue to fall in creamy layer after retirement of the parent(s)?

(v) Will the sons and daughters of parents of whom husband is directly recruited Class III/Group C or Class IV/Group D employee and he gets into Class I/Group A at the age of 40 or earlier be treated to be falling in creamy layer?

(vi) Will a candidate who himself is a directly recruited Class I/Group A officer or a directly recruited Class II/Group B officer who got into Class I/Group A at the age of 40 or earlier be treated to be falling in creamy layer on the basis of his service status?

(vii) Will a candidate who has gross annual income of Rs.2.5 lakh or above or possesses wealth above the exemption limit as prescribed in the Wealth Tax Act for a period of three consecutive years be treated to fall in creamy layer?
(viii) The instructions provide that a lady belonging to OBC category who has got married to a directly recruited Class I/Group A officer shall not be treated as falling in creamy layer on the basis of her marriage. Will a man belonging to OBC category who is married to a directly recruited Class I/Group ‘A’ officer be treated as falling in creamy layer on the basis of his marriage?

(ix) How will the Income/Wealth Test apply in case of sons and daughters of parent(s) employed in PSUs etc. in which equivalence or comparability of posts has not been established vis-à-vis posts in the Government?

(x) What is the scope of the explanation, ‘Income from salaries or agricultural land shall not be clubbed’, given below the Income/Wealth Test?

5. It is clarified in regard to clauses (i), (ii) and (iii) of para 4 that the sons and daughters of:

(a) parents either of whom or both of whom are directly recruited Class I/Group A officers and such parent(s) dies/die or suffers/suffer permanent incapacitation while in service;

(b) parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and one of them dies or suffers permanent incapacitation while in service; and

(c) parents both of whom are directly recruited Class II/Group B officers and both of them die or suffer permanent incapacitation while in service, even though either of them has had the benefit of employment in any International Organization like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years before their death or permanent incapacitation are not treated to be falling in creamy layer. But if the parent(s) dies/die or suffers/suffer permanent incapacitation in such cases after retirement from service, his/their sons and daughters would be treated to be falling in creamy layer and would not get the benefit of reservation.

6. In regard to clause (iv) of para 4, it is clarified that sons and daughters of parents who are included in the creamy layer on the basis of service status of their parents shall continue to be treated in creamy layer even if their parents have retired or have died after retirement.
7. In regard to clause (v) of para 4, it is clarified that the sons and daughters of parents of whom only the husband is a directly recruited Class II/Group B officer who gets into Class I/Group A at the age of 40 or earlier are treated to be in creamy layer. If the father is directly recruited Class III/Group C or Class IV/Group D employee and he gets into Class I/Group A at the age of 40 or earlier, his sons and daughters shall not be treated to be falling in creamy layer.

8. In regard to clauses (vi), (vii) and (viii) of para 4, it is clarified that the creamy layer status of a candidate is determined on the basis of the status of his parents and not on the basis of his own status or income or on the basis of status or income of his/her spouse. Therefore, while determining the creamy layer status of a person the status or the income of the candidate himself or of his/her spouse shall not be taken into account.

9. In regard to clause (ix) of para 4, it is clarified that the creamy layer status of sons and daughters of persons employed in organizations where equivalence or comparability of posts vis-à-vis posts in Government has not been evaluated is determined as follows:

Income of the parents from the salaries and from the other sources [other than salaries and agricultural land] is determined separately. If either the income of the parents from the salaries or the income of the parents from other sources [other than salaries and agricultural land] exceeds the limit of Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum for a period of three consecutive years, the sons and daughters of such persons shall be treated to fall in creamy layer. But the sons and daughters of parents whose income from salaries is less than Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum and income from other sources is also less than Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum will not be treated as falling in creamy layer even if the sum of the income from salaries and the income from the other sources is more than Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum for a period of three consecutive years. It may be noted that income from agricultural land is not taken into account while applying the Test.

10. In regard to clause (x) of para 4, it is clarified that while applying the Income/Wealth Test to determine creamy layer status of any candidate as given in Category VI of the Schedule to the OM, income from the salaries and income from the agricultural land shall not be taken into account. It means that if income from salaries of the parents of any candidate is more than Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum, income from agricultural land is more than Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum, but income from other sources is less than Rs. 2.5 lakh per annum, the candidate shall not be treated to be falling in creamy layer on the basis of Income/Wealth Test provided his parent(s)
do not possess wealth above the exemption limit as prescribed in the Wealth Tax Act for a period of three consecutive years.

11. You are requested to bring the contents of this letter to all concerned in the State.

Yours faithfully,

(K.G. Verma)
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of India

Copy to:-
1. All Ministries / Departments of Govt. of India
2. Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division), New Delhi
3. Department of Economic Affairs (Insurances Division), New Delhi
4. Department of Public Enterprises, New Delhi,
5. Railway Board,
6. Union Public Service Commission / Supreme Court of India / Election Commission / Lok Sabha Secretariat / Rajya Sabha Secretariat / Cabinet Secretariat / Central Vigilance Commission / President’s Secretariat / Prime Minister’s Office / Planning Commission/ National Commission for Backward Classes.
7. Staff Selection Commission, CGO Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.

With the request to bring the contents of this letter to the notice of all concerned.
Office Memorandum

Subject: Validity period of OBC certificate and verification of community and 'non-creamy layer' status of OBC candidates.

The undersigned is directed to say that a question has arisen about the validity period of certificates issued to the candidates belonging to other backward classes for the purpose of reservation in services. The OBC certificate consists of two parts - first part indicates that the concerned person belongs to a community listed as OBC and the second part indicates that the candidate does not fall in the creamy layer. While the OBC status of a candidate may change only when the community of the concerned candidate is removed from the OBC list, his/her creamy layer status may change any time. In view of it, it is not possible to determine a fixed validity period for the OBC certificate.

2. - Every candidate seeking reservation as OBC is required to submit a certificate regarding his/her 'OBC status and non-creamy layer status' issued by an authority mentioned in DOPT Office Memorandum No.36012/22/93-Estt.(SCT) dated 15-11-1993. The 'OBC status' and/or 'non-creamy layer status' of the candidate, as pointed out in para above, may change after issue of the certificate making him/her ineligible for reservation. In order to ensure that candidates not eligible to get reservation do not seek reservation, a declaration, in addition to certificate issued by the competent authority, may be obtained from the candidates seeking reservation as OBCs in the following format:
"I, ___________________________ son/daughter
of Shri ___________________________ resident of village/town/city ________________
district __________________________ state __________________________
hereby declare that I belong to the __________________________ community which
is recognized as a backward class by the Government of India for the
purpose of reservation in services as per orders contained in
Department of Personnel and Training Office Memorandum No.36012/22/93-Estt.(SCT) dated 8-9-1993. It is also declared that
I do not belong to persons/sections (Creamy Layer) mentioned in
column 3 of the Schedule to the above referred Office Memorandum
dated 8-9-1993."

3. The appointing authority, before appointing a person seeking
appointment on the basis of reservation to OBCs should verify the veracity
of the community certificate submitted by the candidate and also the fact
that he/she does not fall in creamy layer on the crucial date. The crucial
date for this purpose may be treated as the closing date for receipt of
applications for the post except in cases where crucial date is fixed
otherwise.

4. It was decided vide this Department’s Office Memorandum
No.36033/9/95-Estt.(SCT) dated 10-5-1995 that in case of appointments
against vacancies reserved for OBCs a clause in the offer of appointment
would be inserted to the effect that appointment will be provisional and
subject to verification of the community certificate. Since reservation is
available only to such OBC candidates who do not fall in the creamy layer, it
is necessary that the clause inserted in the offer of appointment should be
modified so as to take care of creamy layer status of the candidate also. It
is, therefore, decided that the following modified clause may be included in
the offer of appointment in place of clause prescribed vide Office
Memorandum dated 10-5-1995:
"The appointment is provisional and is subject to the community certificate being verified through the proper channels. If the verification reveals that the claim of the candidate to belong to Other Backward Classes or not to belong to creamy layer is false, his/her services will be terminated forthwith without assigning any further reasons and without prejudice to such further action as may be taken under the provisions of Indian Panel Code for production of false certificates."

5. All Ministries/Departments are requested to bring the contents of this Office Memorandum to the notice of all authorities under them for information and compliance.

(K.G. Verma)
Deputy secretary to the Government of India
Tele: 23092797

1. All Ministries/Departments of the Government of India.
2. Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division), New Delhi.
3. Department of Economic Affairs (Insurance Division), New Delhi.
4. Department of Public Enterprises, New Delhi.
5. Railway Board.
6. Union Public Service Commission/Supreme Court of India/Election Commission/Lok Sabha Secretariat/Rajya Sabha Secretariat/Cabinet Secretariat/Central Vigilance Commission/President's Secretariat/Prime Minister's Office/Planning Commission.
7. Staff Selection Commission, CGO Complex, Lodi Road, New Delhi.
IMMEDIATE

No. 36012/22/93-Estt. (SCT)

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL & TRAINING

NEW DELHI

Dated the 15th Nov. 1993

To

The Chief Secretaries of
all the State Governments/Union Territories.

Sub: Reservation for Other Backward Classes — exclusion of Creamy Layer for the purpose of appointment in services and posts under the Government of India — Certificate to be produced by the candidates.

Sir,

I am directed to say that the Government of India has issued instructions on 8.9.93 providing for reservation to Other Backward Classes in the services and posts under the Government of India (A copy of this O.M. is enclosed). The Other Backward Classes for the purpose of the above said reservation would comprise, in the first phase, the castes and communities which are common to both the lists in the report of the Mandal Commission and the State Government’s list. A list of such castes and communities was notified in Resolution No. 12011/68/93-BCC(C), dated 10th Sept. 1993 published in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary Part I Section 1 dated 13.9.93. For the purpose of verification of the castes and communities the Government of India has prescribed a certificate from the following authorities as in the case of SC/ST vide this Department’s O.M. No. 36012/22/93-Estt. (SCT), dated 22.10.93 (copy enclosed):

(a) District Magistrate/Additional District Magistrate/Collector/Deputy Commissioner/Additional Deputy Commissioner/Deputy Collector/1st Class Stipendary Magistrate/Sub-Divisional Magistrate/Taluka Magistrate/Executive Magistrate/Extra Assistant Commissioner (not below the rank of 1st Class Stipendary Magistrate).

(b) Chief Presidency Magistrate/Additional Chief Presidency Magistrate/Presidency Magistrate.

(c) Revenue Officer not below the rank of Tehsildar; and

(d) Sub-Divisional Officer of the area where the candidate and/or his family normally resides.

2. In the light of the Supreme Court’s judgement in the Indira Sawhney case, this Department has specified the persons/section (“Creamy Layer”) to whom the benefit of reservation shall not apply vide column 3 of the Schedule to the Department of Personnel and Training O.M.No. 36012/22/93-Estt. (SCT), dated 8.9.93. It has been considered that the same authorities who are notified as competent to certify OBCs status should also be authorised to certify that a candidate does not belong to the “Creamy Layer”. It is, therefore, requested that instructions may be issued to the District Authorities under your control to verify and issue the necessary certificate to the candidates regarding his OBCs status as well as exclusion from the “creamy layer”. To enable the District Authorities to

485
examine the claims of the candidates a model format has been devised as in Annexure B. This may be suitably revised if considered necessary. The format of the certificate that may be given by the concerned district authorities may be as in Annexure A.

3. It is also requested that wide publicity may be given to the Ministry of Welfare Resolution No. 12011/58/93-3CC(C), dated 10-9-93 published in the Gazette of India/Extraordinary Part I Section I, dated 13-9-93 containing the list of Backward Castes as well as to DOPT O.M.No. 36012/22/93-Est. (SCT), dated 8-9-93 which specifies the criteria which will determine the persons who belong to the creamy layer and to whom the reservation shall not apply. This will facilitate the candidates to ascertain their eligibility for reservation. It would also be advisable to appropriately brief the certifying Authorities and to provide them with sufficient number of copies of the above mentioned Gazette Notification and the Deptt. O.M. date 8-9-93 in order to ensure prompt and correct certification.

4. A copy of the orders issued by your Government in this regard may also be endorsed to this Department for information.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/
(Smt. Sarita Prasad),
Joint Secretary to the Government of India.
No. 36012/22/93-Estt (SCT)
Government of India
Ministry of Personnel, PG & Pensions
Department of Personnel & Training

New Delhi, the 22nd October, 1993

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject:—Reservation for Other Backward Classes in Civil Posts and Services under the Government of India—Regarding.

The undersigned is directed to refer to this Department's O.M. of even number dated the 8th September, 1993 on the above subject and to say that in accordance with the Supreme Court Judgement in the Indira Sawhney case, the reservations contemplated in clause 4 of Article 16 should not exceed 50%. For the purpose of applying the rule of 50%, an year should be taken as the unit and not the entire strength of the cadre, service or the unit as the case may be. This position would also apply in the case of carry forward vacancies. Therefore, the Ministries/Departments are requested to ensure that the reservations provided to SC/ST/OBCs put together do not exceed 30% of vacancies arising in any year.

2. In the light of the reservations provided to other backward classes, it is necessary to revise the existing reservation rosters. In respect of direct recruitment on All India basis open competition where there is a reservation for 15% for SC and 7-16% for ST, the existing 40 point roster has been revised into a 200 point-roster as in the model indicated in Annexure-A. The revised roster will come into effect immediately. Vacancies filled on or after 8-9-1993 should be shown in the new roster now prescribed in Annexure-A. The old roster shall be deemed to have been closed from this date. The reservations which had to be carried forward in the previous roster shall now be carried forward to the new roster.

3. There is no change in the existing reservation rosters in so far as promotion is concerned, as there is no reservation for OBCs in promotion.

4. No other relaxation/concession is admissible to OBCs. There is no provision for any relaxed standard to be applied in the case of OBCs.

5. In para 2(d) of this Department's O.M. of even number dated 8th September, 1993, it has been stated that a list of castes and communities for the purpose of the reservation for OBCs is to be issued separately by the Ministry of Welfare. The Ministry of Welfare have since notified the said list vide their Resolution No. 12011/68/93-BCC(C) dated 10th September, 1993 published in the Gazette of India Extraordinary Part I Section 1 dated 13th September, 1993. For the purpose of verification of the castes/communities the certificate from the following authorities only will be accepted:

(a) District Magistrate/Additional District Magistrate/Collector/Deputy Commissioner/Additional Deputy Commissioner/Deputy Collector/1st Class Stipendiary Magistrate/Sub-Divisional Magistrate/Taluka Magistrate/Executive Magistrate/Extra Assistant Commissioner (not below the rank of 1st Class Stipendiary Magistrate).

(b) Chief Presidency Magistrate/Additional Chief Presidency Magistrate/Presidency Magistrate.

(c) Revenue Officer not below the rank of Tehsildar; and

(d) Sub-Divisional Officer of the area where the candidate and/or his family resides.

(Sd)
(M. Venkataraman)
Under Secretary to the Government of India

To,

1. All Ministries/Departments of the Government of India.
2. Department of Public Enterprises, New Delhi.
3. Department of Economic Affairs (Banking Division), New Delhi.
4. Department of Economic Affairs (Insurance Division), New Delhi.
Subject: Reservation for Other Backward Classes in Civil Posts and Services under the Government of India—Regarding.

The undersigned is directed to refer to this Department’s O.M. No. 36012/31/90-Estt. (SCT), dated the 13th August, 1990 and 25th September, 1991 regarding reservation for Socially and Educationally Backward Classes in Civil Posts and Services under the Government of India and to say that following the Supreme Court judgement in the Indira Sawhney and others Vs. Union of India and others case [Writ Petition (Civil) No. 930 of 1990] the Government of India appointed an Expert Committee to recommend the criteria for exclusion of the socially advanced persons/sections from the benefits of reservations for Other Backward Classes in civil posts and services under the Government of India.

2. Consequent to the consideration of the Expert Committee’s recommendations this Department’s Office Memorandum No. 36012/31/90-Estt. (SCT), dated 13.8.90 referred to in para (1) above is hereby modified to provide as follows:

(a) 27% (twentyseven percent) of the vacancies in civil posts and services under the Government of India, to be filled through direct recruitment, shall be reserved for the Other Backward Classes. Detailed instructions relating to the procedure to be followed for enforcing reservation will be issued separately.

(b) Candidates belonging to OBCs recruited on the basis of merit in an open competition on the same standards prescribed for the general candidates shall not be adjusted against the reservation quota of 27%.

(c) (i) The aforesaid reservation shall not apply to persons/sections mentioned in column 3 of the Schedule to this office memorandum.

(ii) The rule of exclusion will not apply to persons working as artisans or engaged in hereditary occupations, callings. A list of such occupations, callings will be issued separately by the Ministry of Welfare.

(d) The OBCs for the purpose of the aforesaid reservation would comprise, in the first phase, the castes and communities which are common to both the lists in the report of the Mandal Commission and the State Governments’ Lists. A list of such castes and communities is being issued separately by the Ministry of Welfare.

(e) The aforesaid reservation shall take immediate effect. However, this will not apply to vacancies where the recruitment process has already been initiated prior to the issue of this order.

3. Similar instructions in respect of public sector undertakings and financial institutions including public sector banks will be issued by the Department of Public Enterprises and by the Ministry of Finance respectively effective from the date of this Office Memorandum.

Sd/-

(Smt. Sarita Prasad)

Joint Secretary to the Government of India.

To

All Ministries/Departments of Government of India.

Copy:

1. Department of Public Enterprises, New Delhi.

2. Ministry of Finance (Banking & Insurance Divisions), New Delhi.

It is requested that the said instructions may be issued in respect of PSUs, Public Sector Banks & Insurance Corporation.
## SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of category</th>
<th>To whom rule of exclusion will apply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. CONSTITUTIONAL POSTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. SERVICE CATEGORY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Group A/Class 1 officers of the All India Central and State Services (Direct Recruits)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provided that the rule of exclusion shall not apply in the following cases:

(a) Sons and daughters of parents either of whom or both of whom are Class I officers and such parent(s) dies/die or suffer permanent incapacitation.

(b) A lady belonging to OBC category has got married to a Class-I officer, and may herself like to apply for a job.
B. Group B/Class II officers of the Central & State Services (Direct Recruitment)

Son(s) and daugther(s) of

(a) parents both of whom are Class II officers.

(b) parents of whom only the husband is a Class II officer and he gets into Class I at the age of 40 or earlier.

(c) parents, both of whom are Class II officers and one of them dies or suffers permanent incapacitation and either one of them has had the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years before such death or permanent incapacitation;

(d) parents of whom the husband is a Class I officer (direct recruit or pre-forty promoted) and the wife is a Class II officer and the wife dies; or suffers permanent incapacitation; and

(e) parents, of whom the wife is a Class I officer (Direct Recruit or pre-forty promoted) and the husband is a Class II officer and the husband dies or suffers permanent incapacitation

Provided that the rule of exclusion shall not apply in the following cases:

Sons and daughters of

(a) Parents both of whom are Class II officers and one of them dies or suffers permanent incapacitation.

(b) Parents, both of whom are Class II officers and both of them die or suffer permanent incapacitation, even though either of them has had the benefit of employment in any International Organisation like UN, IMF, World Bank, etc. for a period of not less than 5 years before their death or permanent incapacitation.

C. Employees in Public Sector Undertakings etc.

The criteria enumerated in A & B above in this Category will apply mutatis mutandis to officers holding equivalent or comparable posts in PSUs, Banks, Insurance Organisations, Universities, etc. and also to equivalent or comparable posts and positions under private employment. Pending the evaluation of the posts on equivalent or comparable basis in these institutions, the criteria specified in Category VI below will apply to the officers in these Institutions.
II. ARMED FORCES INCLUDING PARAMILITARY FORCES
(Persons holding civil posts are not included)

Son(s) and daughter(s) of parents either or both of whom is or are in the rank of Colonel and above in the Army and to equivalent posts in the Navy and the Air Force and the Para Military Forces;

Provided that:—

(i) If the wife of an Armed Forces Officer is herself in the Armed Forces (i.e., the category under consideration) the rule of exclusion will apply only when she herself has reached the rank of Colonel;

(ii) the service ranks below Colonel of husband and wife shall not be clubbed together;

(iii) If the wife of an officer in the Armed Forces is in civil employment, this will not be taken into account for applying the rule of exclusion unless she falls in the service category under Item No. II in which case the criteria and conditions enumerated therein will apply to her independently.

IV. PROFESSIONAL CLASS AND THOSE ENGAGED IN TRADE AND INDUSTRY

(I) Persons engaged in profession as a doctor, lawyer, chartered accountant, Income-Tax consultant, financial or management consultant, dental surgeon, engineer, architect, computer specialist, film artists and other film professional, author, playwright, sports person, sports professional, media professional or any other vocations of like status.

Criteria specified against Category VI will apply:—

(II) Persons engaged in trade, business and industry.

Criteria specified against Category VI will apply:

Explanation:

(i) Where the husband is in some profession and the wife is in a Class II or lower grade employment, the income/wealth test will apply only on the basis of the husband's income.

(ii) If the wife is in any profession and the husband is in employment in a Class II or lower rank post, then the income/wealth criterion will apply only on the basis of the wife's income and the husband's income will not be clubbed with it.
V. PROPERTY OWNERS

A. Agricultural holdings

Son(s) and daughter(s) of persons belonging to a family (father, mother and minor children) which owns

(a) only irrigated land which is equal to or more than 85% of the statutory area, or
(b) both irrigated and unirrigated land, as follows:

(i) The rule of exclusion will apply where the pre-condition exists that the irrigated area (having been brought to a single type under a common denominator) 40% or more of the statutory ceiling limit for irrigated land (this being calculated by excluding the unirrigated portion). If this pre-condition of not less than 40% exists, then only the area of unirrigated land will be taken into account. This will be done by converting the unirrigated land on the basis of the conversion formula existing into the irrigated type. The irrigated area so computed from unirrigated land shall be added to the actual area of irrigated land and if after such clubbing together the total area in terms of irrigated land is 80% or more of the statutory ceiling limit for irrigated land, then the rule of exclusion will apply and disentitlement will occur.

(ii) The rule of exclusion will not apply if the land holding of a family is exclusively unirrigated.

B. Plantations

(i) Coffee, tea, rubber, etc.

(ii) Mango, citrus, apply plantations etc.

C. Vacant land and/or buildings in urban areas or urban agglomerations

VI. INCOME/WEALTH TEST

Criteria of income/wealth specified in Category VI below will apply.

Deemed as agricultural holding and hence criteria at A above under this Category will apply.

Explanation: Building may be used for residential, industrial or commercial purpose and the like two or more such purposes.

Son(s) and daughter(s) of

(a) Persons having gross annual income of Rs. 1 lakh or above or possessing wealth above the exemption limit as prescribed in the Wealth Tax Act for a period of three consecutive years.
(b) Persons in Categories I, II, III and V A who are not disentitled to the benefit of reservation but have income from other sources of wealth which will bring them within the income/wealth criteria mentioned in (a) above.

Explanation:

(i) Income from salaries or agricultural land shall not be clubbed;

(ii) The income criteria in terms of rupee will be modified taking into account the change in its value every three years. If the situation, however, so demands, the interregnum may be less.

Explanation: Wherever the expression "permanent incapacity" occur in this schedule, it shall mean incapacitation which results in putting an officer out of service.